

2. PRIME MINISTER

currency unit (ecu).

READER'S DIGEST

Jusz

 $\hat{\mathbf{0}}$

The Reader's Digest did not exhaust their questions when they saw you and have asked me to try to clear answers with you. They are below:

Q. Some Europeans view you as a stumbling block to a unified Europe. What is your reaction?

A. I think you must distinguish between reality and rhetoric. It is true that a great many people in Europe talk air-fairily about European union, a united Europe and so on. But when I challenge them to say precisely what they mean it rapidly becomes clear that very few, if any, of my colleagues around the table at European Councils have any intention of returning to their Parliaments with the news that they are to be stripped of power in favour of a government in Brussels. Of course, there are some federalists but I believe that most people share my concept of a Europe of based on idual nations - a Europe enriched by the diversity of its member states working together to mutual advantage. So, having set aside the rhetoric, the reality is that far from being a stumbling block, Tam on so many issues in the lead in developing the European Community - in putting its finances on a sounder and fairer basis; in controlling agriculture production; in completing the single internal market; in eliminating exchange

Q. Is Britain's special relationship with the US compatible with a full commitment to the European Community?

controls; and in developing the wider use of the European

A. Yes. The two are in no way incompatible. We are and always have been European. We are also close allies within NATO of both European partners and the USA. And we secured a declaration at the Rhodes European Council in December last year that the European Community, in moving to a single internal market would not erect new trade barriers to the outside world. We have explicitly ruled out the idea of Fortress Europe. In all these circumstances our special relationship with the United States, which I think both our nations cherish, is therefore not merely compatible with a full commitment to the European Community but an asset both to the Community and the USA.

BERNARD INGHAM January 30, 1989

1.

willing

10 DOWNING STREET

From the Press Secretary

January 31, 1989

In lussell,

I am sorry it has taken a little longer than I hoped it would to provide you with the answers to your two questions of the Prime Minister on Europe. They are attached. I hope they satisfactorily complete your article within the deadline.

Yours sincerely

BERNARD INGHAM

and the providence of the

172.762.00

Russell Twisk Esq Editor in Chief Reader's Digest Q. Some Europeans view you as a stumbling block to a unified Europe. What is your reaction?

A. I think you must distinguish between reality and rhetoric. It is true that a great many people in Europe talk air-fairily about European union, a united Europe and so on. But when I challenge them to say precisely what they mean it rapidly becomes clear that very few, if any, of my colleagues around the table at European Councils have any intention of returning to their Parliaments with the news that they are to be stripped of power in favour of a government in Brussels. Of course, there are some federalists but I believe that most people share my concept of a Europe based on willing and active co-operation between individual sovereign states. So, having set aside the rhetoric, the reality is that far from being a stumbling block, Britain is on so many issues in the lead in developing the European Community - in putting its finances on a sounder and fairer basis; in controlling agricultural production; in completing the single internal market; in eliminating exchange controls; and in developing the wider use of the European currency unit (ecu).

Q. Is Britain's special relationship with the US compatible with a full commitment to the European Community?

A. Yes. The two are in no way incompatible. We are and always have been European. We are also close allies within NATO of both European partners and the USA. And we secured a declaration at the Rhodes European Council in December last year that the European Community, in moving to a single internal market would not erect new trade barriers to the outside world. We have explicitly ruled out the idea of Fortress Europe. In all these circumstances our special relationship with the United States, which I think both our nations cherish, is therefore not merely compatible with a full commitment to the European Community but an asset both to the Community and the USA.



Telephone 01-629 8144 Cables Readigest London W1 Telex 264631 Facsimile 01-408 0748

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF: RUSSELL TWISK

Some Europeans view you as a stumbling block to a unified Europe. What is your reaction?

Is Britain's special relationship with the US compatible with a full commitment to the European community?



Registered office: The Reader's Digest Association Limited, 25 Berkeley Square, London W1X 6AB Registered number: 340452 England